Updated criteria for inclusion in the RAN Collection (from 2020)

In both the current and future inclusion of practices, practice owners need to ensure that their practice meets all criteria. If a practice complies with all criteria, the 'practice owners', or 'practice developers', may be invited to present it at a RAN event. Presentations will be shared beforehand to make sure they have the right fit with the topic and target group of the meeting.

In the table below, the contractor shares its first views on slightly adjusting the criteria. This also takes into account the proposal that there will be practices who have received an expert review from RAN and that practices will be categorised into inspiring, good and best categories.

Criteria for inclusion in the RAN Collection			
Current criteria as described in the RAN Collection	Proposal future criteria		
The practice has an explicit connection to the subject of radicalisation/violent extremism. This means that in the aims and/or activities/methods of the practice, there is a link to preventing and countering radicalisation and/or violent extremism	This criterion is still the cornerstone to making a practice relevant for the RAN Collection and should therefore be maintained.		
The practice is an activity/method that has been used/is in use by professionals and/or community members	This criterion reflects the practitioner nature of RAN. It should be maintained. However, 'tools' should be added to also include these type of examples in the Collection.		
Basic elements of the practice are transferrable to other (local) contexts (This implies research projects will not be included in the Collection).	This criterion should remain but is also an important feature of the expert review. It should be further developed how a practice itself can assess the transferability. At least the practice owners should be asked which elements are transferrable and how.		
The practice is based in the European Union (EU)/European Economic Area (EEA);	The RAN focus is on the EU and this should be its primary priority area. However, good practices outside the EU may also be inspiring for the EU community of P/CVE practitioners. If the practice fits all other criteria, there should be room to include a limited number of good practices developed outside the EU. This will benefit reaching the objective of up to 20 new practices per year. As many practices in the EU are already in the Collection, finding 20 completely new practices in the EU may be a challenge.		
All practice descriptions have been checked with the organisations in question and if necessary have been adjusted following feedback.	This criterion should be maintained to increase the willingness of practice owners to cooperate. It should be compliant with <i>mentioning GDPR and written consent to the publishing of practice information</i> .		
The practice has been presented in a RAN meeting, allowing peer review	The current Collection is built on this criterion but exceptions have been made for example in cooperation with partner networks. As having to present at the meeting may slow down the process of adopting new practices, it could be adjusted to also be able to do a written peer review with a select group of relevant practitioners.		
The practice has been reviewed and approved for adoption by the SC.	This criterion increases the legitimisation of the peer review and should be maintained. However, <i>the Commission should be explicitly added</i> as having a final say in approving the practice.		